Sectt. XI-48—19,083—11-9-21.

Devt (192/ ) Department.

Fms SERIES.

G.O. No. 2358

DATED 22.12.21

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

Fisheries — U. Parameswara, head clerk of the office of Asst Registrar of Coop Societies, Mangalore — reaching Service in the Fisheries Dept — allowed to count for increments in the Coop Dept

C.F. 9-24,264-4-4-21.

**DEPARTMENT.**

*Received* ..... 192 *Registered* ..... 192

*Subject.* 1\17

| Enclosures. |
|---|
| No. |
| |
| Spare copies |

Ref.No. 1294 C/21-8

*Station* MADRAS, *Dated the* 29th NOVEMBER 1921

From (Name) JAMES HORNELL, ESQ., F.L.S., F.R.A.I.,

Designation) DIRECTOR OF FISHERIES, MADRAS.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras.

DEVELOPMENT Department.

Through The Accountant-General.

Sir,

Establishment - Socio-economic Sub Assistant U. Parameswara.

I have the honour to solicit the orders of Government on the initial pay to be given to an Inspector of the Co-operative Societies who was taken to my department last year.

2. When the Inspector of Fish oil and Guano was sanctioned by Government, a permanent sub assistant working in this department was appointed to the temporary post and Mr. U. Parameswara, the head clerk of the office of the

Govt 1381 28-7-1920 not found up

Pay Rs 35-35-1½-50-1-60

Assistant Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Mangalore, was appointed to act as SubAssistant in his place. The Assistant Registrar in his proceedings R.C. 1033/20 dated 15th October 1920 permitted Mr. Parameswara to retain a lien on his "permanent appointment" in the Co-operative Department for a period of one year, Mr. Parameswara joined duty as Sub Assistant in my department on 18th October 1920. On the 1st August 1921, the Registrar of Co-operative Societies pointed out that under the ruling under article 339 (2) in the Madras Supplement to the Civil Service Regulations, the subordinate officers of his department were temporary officers so long as the department continued to be temporary and they could not be given any lien for more than 3 months. Hence he argued that Mr. Parameswara had no lien in his appointment in the Co-operative department and that his name should be struck out of the establishment list. I then drew the Registrar's attention to the fact that the ruling did not apply to Mr. Parameswara as article 339 on which it was based applied only to officers on long leave and not to the officers who have been deputed for duties in another Government appointment. I also requested that Mr. Parameswara's appointment should be held in reserve for him till his reversion. The Registrar then stated that the Accountant- General had informed him that only officers holding permanent appointments can be given any lien and the question of lien did not arise in the case of persons holding no substantive appointments under Government. He however very kindly stated that that he had no objection to keep the post of Inspector held by Mr. Parameswara open for another year after the expiry of the original period of one year without his being given any lien in the Co-operative department. This is satisfactory so far as it goes but I understand that the effect of this ruling is that Mr. Parameswara would not be entitled to the

increments he would have drawn had he continued in the Co-operative Department. If the Co-operative Department were permanent his appointment as Inspector would of course have been permanent and the service he has put in the Fisheries Department would have counted for increment under article 155 (c) (1). The Co-operative Department is practically permanent and Mr. Parameswara took up the appointment in my Department on the assurance that he would be granted a lien on his appointment in that Department which he was then made to understand was really permanent. It will now be a case of real hardship if he is told that by his deputation to the Fisheries Department which was owing to his good work in the Co-operative Department he has been deprived of his promotion which would naturally have come to him if he had remained in the Co-operative Department. I therefore request that Government will be so good as to permit Mr. Parameswara to count as service for increment in the Co-operative Department the period he has spent as socio-economic Sub Assistant in the Fisheries Department.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

30.11.21

Director of Fisheries.

S.R.29-11-21.

4 Dev 3428 II

0/12/21 O.F. 60—10,000—30-4

No. Mal 1--' 2...(1) OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

*Fort St. George,* 8th December 1921.

Enclosures.
one
of 2 sheets

*F o r w a r d e d.

2. There is no audit objection to the proposal of the Director of Fisheries being sanctioned by Government--Vide Rule 26(c) of the new Fundamental rules

(J)

Deputy Accountant-General.




The Secretary to Government:

Development Department.

Ref.No.1294 C/21-8 dated 29-11-21
from the Director of Fisheries, Madras.

cott.●—10 (a)—36,003—21-1-18. (6)

5

Development DEPARTMENT.

| | Initials. | Date. |
|---|---|---|
| Received in Fair-copying Section. | | 22/12 K.S.R |
| Copied .. | | |
| Examined .. | | 22/12 |
| Signed .. | | 22/12 |
| Despatched .. | | |

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ..., dated Deptt — allowed to Count 191 for increment

" " " " ..... " ..... 191 in the Cooperative deptt

*READ ALSO:—*

C. No. 3428/II From the D of F No. 1294 C/21-8 dated 27.11.1921

" " " " A.C. Endt No Mal-1/24150 " 8-12-1921

" " " " ..... 191

## ORDER. No. 2358 dated 22.12.1921.

Mise

MARU Parameswara head clerk of the Office of Asst Regr of C.S. Mangalore will be permitted to Count the period of his ~~deputation~~ employment as Sub Asst in the Fisheries Deptt as Service for increments in the Cooperative ~~Corporation~~ deptt in the event of his reversion to that deptt.

DESPATCHED
22 DEC 1921

JTM 18/12/21

Sd Illegible 20.12.21

To ... hr III Section

The D of F ... RB 19.12.21

Regr of C.S. ...

A G.

Note. Strictly speaking the sanction of Govt is not required — It follows as a matter of course under Rule 26 of the Fundamental Rules

CH/20.12.21